Linking Natural Hazard Models and Public Policy Making– The Case of Typhoon/Flood Insurance

Ching-Cheng Chang

Research Fellow Institute of Economics, Academia Sinica

APEC Workshop on Hazard Mapping and Risk and Vulnerability Assessment, October 19-21, 2010 Grand Formosa Regent Taipei

Outline

Background

- Hazards and Frequencies
- Impacts on Insurance
- Typhoon-Flood Insurance Policy
 - Model Assessment
 - Policy Design
- Concluding Remarks

1970~2006 Typhoons with High Rainfall

Rank	Year	Typhoon name	Date	Average rainfall (mm)		
1	1987	Lynn	10/22 ~ 10/27	1050.4		
2	2001	Nari	09/08 ~ 09/19	1032.4		
3	2005	Haitang	07/16 ~ 07/20	922.0		
4	1996	Herb	07/29~08/01	887.7		
5	1973	Nora	10/07 ~ 10/10	825.5		
6	1978	Ora	10/11 ~ 10/14	805.6		
7	2004	Mindulle	06/28~07/03	709.0		
8	2000	XangSang	10/30 ~ 11/01	698.5		
9	1998	Zeb	10/13 ~ 10/17	665.2		
10	2001	Lekima	09/23 ~ 09/28	651.8		



On average, 4.8 typhoons every year 3.5 of which caused damages

Table 1 Occurrences of Typhoons in Chinese Taipei, 1972 ~ 2004

Period	Total occurrence	Average occurrence
1972 ~ 1976	11	2.2
1977 ~ 1981	13	2.6
1982 ~ 1986	16	3.2
1987 ~ 1991	21	4.2
1992 ~ 1996 ¹	21	4.2
1997 ~ 2001	23	4.6
2002 ~ 2004 ^a	15	5.0

2011/2/1

Source: Ministry of the Interior, National Fire Agency

^a Numbers in this row are 3-year total and average, respectively.

Engineering cost increase greatly since 1991, ½ are spent for building river levees



Source: Teng et al (2006), Natural Hazards 37: 191-207.

Insured versus Un-insured Losses-Global Perspective



- In 2004 many of the natural catastrophes happened in developed insurance markets, rendering the ratio of insured/uninsured at 66%.
- In 2005, insured losses rise to record levels due to Katrina and Rita
- But the above ratio may not change dramatically due to an also dramatic rise of uninsured losses in Pakistan, Guatemala, Indonesia, ..., etc.

Source: James Vickers, "Public-Private Partnerships in Catastrophe Risk Financing: A Reinsurance Market Perspective." Willis Re International, 2005

Written Premium/Growth, 1998-2007



I. Natural Hazard Modeling



Stochastic Event Module

Event-Based Database

Rainfall data (1961~2006) 224 Typhoons & 98 Rainstorms = 322 Major rainfall events

asir

33

(mm)

678

54

•••

232



Hazard Module



Vulnerability Module

1. Geo-coding Technique



Financial Loss Module



II. Policy Design

- Policy Options
- •Calculate Average Annual Loss (AAL)
- •Financing and Claim Layers

Policy Options

Structural Nonstructural Land Use Planning Building Code Disaster Relief Insurance Program

Insurance Program

Options

Mandatory purchase

follows US National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)

Semi-mandatory w/ endorsement to fire policy

Voluntary with mandatory offer

follows the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund.

Simulated AAL and Pure Premium

			First-floor	Building	Fire policy homeowners		
ID	Scenarios	AAL (million)	No of building	Pure premium	No of building	Pure premium	
		. ,	(million)	(NT\$)	(million)	(NT\$)	
S 1	~ 30cm: no payment, 30cm~: NT\$200,000	21,900	3.33	6,577	3.42	6,404	
S2	~ 30cm: no payment, 30cm~: NT\$150,000	16,500	3.33	4,955	3.42	4,825	
S 3	~ 30cm: no payment, 30cm~: NT\$100,000	10,950	3.33	3,288	3.42	3,202	
S4	~ 30cm: no payment, 30cm~100cm:NT\$100,000 100 ~ 200cm:NT\$150,000 200cm~: NT\$200,000	12,300	3.33	3,694	3.42	3,596	
S 5	~ 50cm: no payment, 50cm~: NT\$200,000	12,600	3.33	3,784	3.42	3,684	
S6	~ 50cm: no payment, 50cm~: NT\$150,000	9,450	3.33	2,838	3.42	2,763	
S7	~ 50cm: no payment, 50cm~: NT\$100,000	6,300	3.33	1,892	3.42	1,842	
S8	~ 50cm: no payment, 50cm~100cm:NT\$100,000 100 ~ 200cm:NT\$150,000 200cm~: NT\$200,000	7,800	3.33	2,342	3.42	2,281	
S9	~ 50cm: no payment, 50cm~100cm:NT\$50,000 100 ~ 200cm:NT\$100,000 200cm~: NT\$150,000	4,650	3.33	1,396	3.42	1,360	
S10	S5 +only 20-year return period residents participate 11/2/1	12,000	0.37	32,432	0.185	16 ^{32,432}	
S11	S8 Only 20-year return period residents will participate	7,200	0.37	19,459	0.185	19,459	

Financing and Claim Layers

- Calculate premiums under different assumptions on take-up rate.
- Government's bottom-layer coverage are assumed:
 - They can be viewed as premium subsidy.
- The subsidized premium ranges from NT\$ 140 up to NT\$9,613, depending on the coverage and take-up rate.

Premiums under four selected scenarios with alternative participation (take-up) rates

Scenario		S3 S7				S9			S11			
Take-Up Rate	25%	50%	100%	25%	50%	100%	25%	50%	100%	10%	50%	100%
	C	ontent Cover	(3.3 Mill. Fir	st Floor Ho	useholds)					(0.37 Mill.	First Floor Ho	useholds)
Estimated Policy#	825,000	1,650,000	3,300,000	825,000	1,650,000	3,300,000	825,000	1,650,000	3,300,000	37,000	185,000	370,000
AAL (NT\$ Mill.)	2,738	5,475	10,950	1,575	3,150	6,300	1,163	2,325	4,650	2,160	4,320	4,320
Pure Premium w/o bottom GOV Cover	\$3,318	\$3,318	\$3,318	\$1,909	\$1,909	\$1,909	\$1,409	\$1,409	\$1,409	\$58,378	\$23,351	\$11,676
Living Expense & Electrical &	& Mechanica	al Equipmen	t Loss Cover-	Limit of NT	r\$ 20,000 (4.3	00 (4.3 Mill. 2nd Floor above Households)				(0.75	5 Mill. Househo	olds)
Estimated Policy#	1,075,000	2,150,000	4,300,000	1,075,000	2,150,000	4,300,000	1,075,000	2,150,000	4,300,000	75,000	375,000	750,000
AAL (NT\$ Mill.)	324	648	1,296	324	648	1,296	324	648	1,296	605	1,210	1,210
Additional Premium to Include Living												
expense & Electrical & Mechanical												
Equipment Loss Cover w/ Limit of	\$301	\$301	\$301	\$301	\$301	\$301	\$301	\$301	\$301	\$8,067	\$3,227	\$1,613
NT\$20,000												
Constructed Total Loss Cover-NT\$120 Mill. (7.6 Mill. Households) (1.12 Mill Households)										olds)		
Estimated Policy#	1,900,000	3,800,000	7,600,000	1,900,000	3,800,000	7,600,000	1,900,000	3,800,000	7,600,000	112,000	560,000	1,120,000
AAL (NT\$ Mill.)	59	117	234	59	117	234	59	117	234	12	59	117
Additional Premium to Include Constructed												
Total Loss Cover of NT\$120 Mill.	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$31	\$104	\$104	\$104
				Premium v	/o Bottom G	OV Cover				I		
Pure Promium w/o bottom GOV Cover												
First-Floor Household	\$3,349	\$3,349	\$3,349	\$1,940	\$1,940	\$1,940	\$1,440	\$1,440	\$1,440	\$58,483	\$23,456	\$11,780
Premium w/ additional cost (15%)	\$3.940	\$3.940	\$3.940	\$2.282	\$2,282	\$2.282	\$1.694	\$1.694	\$1.694	\$68,803	\$27.595	\$13.859
Pure Premium w/o bottom GOV Cover -	φ3,740	φ2,740	φ5,540	φ2,202	φ2,202	φ2,202	φ1,024	φ1,074	φ1,074	400,005	φ21,575	<i><i><i></i></i></i>
Other Household	\$332	\$332	\$332	\$332	\$332	\$332	\$332	\$332	\$332	\$8,171	\$3,331	\$1,718
Premium w/ additional cost (15%)	\$391	\$391	\$391	\$391	\$391	\$391	\$391	\$391	\$391	\$9,613	\$3,919	\$2,021
			Pre	emium w/ Bo	ottom 1 Billio	on GOV Cov	er					
AAL ratio of Bottom 1 bn Layer	30.26%	16.25%	8.49%	44.31%	25.33%	13.35%	48.84%	29.94%	16.65%	40.01%	22.27%	22.27%
Pure Premium w/ bottom GOV Cover of 1	¢2,225	\$2.005	#2.0 <i>c</i> c	¢1.000	¢1.440	¢1 <01	¢727	¢1.000	#1.200	\$25 OD 4	#10.000	#0.157
billion - First-Floor Household	\$2,336	\$2,805	\$3,065	\$1,080	\$1,449	\$1,681	\$/3/	\$1,009	\$1,200	\$35,084	\$18,232	\$9,157
Premium w/ additional cost (15%)	\$2,748	\$3,300	\$3,606	\$1,271	\$1,704	\$1,978	\$867	\$1,187	\$1,412	\$41,275	\$21,450	\$10,773
Pure Premium w/ bottom GOV Cover of												
NT\$1 billion - Other Household	\$232	\$278	\$304	\$185	\$248	\$288	\$170	\$233	\$277	\$4,902	\$2,589	\$1,335
Premium w/ additional cost (15%)	\$273	\$327	\$358	\$218	\$292	\$339	\$200	\$274	\$326	\$5,767	\$3,046	\$1,571
			Prer	nium w/ Bot	ttom 1.5 Billi	ion GOV Co	ver					
AAL ratio of Bottom 1.5 bn Layer	41.85%	23.70%	12.65%	58.19%	35.84%	19.68%	62.04%	40.91%	23.97%	53.08%	31.79%	31.79%
Pure Premium w/ bottom GOV Cover of	\$1,947	\$2,555	\$2,925	\$811	\$1,245	\$1,558	\$547	\$851	\$1,095	\$27,440	\$15,999	\$8,035
1.5 billion of First-Floor Household	¢2.201	#2.00c	¢2.442	#074	¢1.464	¢1.022	¢ < 42	¢1.001	¢1.000	¢22.292	¢10.022	¢0.472
Premium w/ additional cost (15%)	\$2,291	\$3,006	\$3,442	\$954	\$1,464	\$1,833	\$643	\$1,001	\$1,288	\$32,283	\$18,823	\$9,453
Pure Premium w/ bottom GOV Cover of NT\$1.5 billion - Other Household	\$193	\$253	\$290	\$139	\$213	\$267	\$126	\$196	\$253	\$3,834	\$2,272	\$1,172
Premium w/ additional cost (15%)	\$227	\$298	\$341	\$163	\$251	\$314	\$148	\$231	\$297	\$4.510	\$2,673	\$1.378
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
AAL ratio of Bottom 2 bn Layer	50.87%	30.41%	16.69%	67.07%	44.36%	25.53%	69.51%	48.94%	30.28%	62.70%	40.01%	40.01%
Pure Premium w/ bottom GOV Cover of 2	\$1.645	\$2,331	\$2 790	\$639	\$1.079	\$1 445	\$439	\$735	\$1.004	\$21 814	\$14.071	\$7.067
billion of First-Floor Household	+ - ,	+_,	+_,		+-,	+-,	4.55		+-,	+,	+ ,	+.,
Premium w/ additional cost (15%)	\$1,936	\$2,742	\$3,282	\$751	\$1,270	\$1,699	\$516	\$865	\$1,181	\$25,664	\$16,554	\$8,314
Pure Premium w/ bottom GOV Cover of	\$163	\$231	\$277	\$109	\$185	\$247	\$101	\$170	\$232	\$3,048	\$1,998	\$1,031
Premium w/ additional cost (15%)	\$192	\$272	\$326	\$129	\$217	\$291	\$119	\$200	\$272	\$3,586	\$2,351	\$1.212
			Pre	emium w/ Bo	ottom 3 Billio	on GOV Cov	er	φ200	42.2	40,000	42,001	
AAL ratio of Bottom 3 bn Layer	64.23%	41.91%	24.31%	79.02%	57.69%	35.97%	79.97%	61.24%	40.99%	75.40%	53.08%	53.08%
Pure Premium w/ bottom GOV Cover of 3	\$1.109	\$1.045	\$2 525	\$407	\$921	\$1.242	\$200	\$550	\$950	\$14 297	\$11.005	\$5.507
billion of First-Floor Household	\$1,198	\$1,743	φ2,333	\$407	<i>φ</i> 621	\$1,242	\$288	٥ د د ب		\$14,387	\$11,005	\$3,327
Premium w/ additional cost (15%)	\$1,409	\$2,289	\$2,982	\$479	\$966	\$1,461	\$339	\$657	\$1,000	\$16,926	\$12,948	\$6,503
Pure Premium w/ bottom GOV Cover of	¢110	¢102	0051	¢70	61.41	¢010	¢ < 7	¢120	¢105	\$2.010	¢1.500	000
NT\$3 billion - Other Household	\$119	\$193	\$251	\$70	\$141	\$213	φ0 /	\$129	\$196	\$2,010	\$1,563	\$806
Premium w/ additional cost (15%)	\$140	\$227	\$296	\$82	\$165	\$250	\$78	\$151	\$231	\$2,365	\$1,839	\$948

Research Team

Interdisciplinary Effort

Multiple Agencies Involved

Domestic

- Insurance Bureau (Financial Supervisory Commission)
- Ching-Cheng Chang (Academia Sinica)
- Mong-Ming Lu (Central Weather Bureau)
- Ming-Daw Su (National Taiwan University)
- Wei-Ling Chiang (National Central University)
- Wen-Ko Hsu (National Central University)

Foreign

- Guy Carpenter, Marsh (New York)
- Catastrophic Modeling Team of RMS (California)

Major Findings

- Significant portion of flood losses uninsured
- Government involvement is needed to increase the amount of flood insurance in force
- Future Work Needed on Modeling
 - Predictions and detection of large- scale natural disasters
 - Integrate climate/hydrology/socio-econ database
 - Improve flood hazard maps
 - Collect risk mitigation/ flood exposure data
 - Upgrade/calibrate risk assessment model
 - Design of multi-peril assessment model

Lesson Learned from Katrina on Insuring catastrophic losses

- Hiroaki Tsubokawa (2006) "Insurance issues in catastrophic disasters in Japan: Lessons from the 2005 Hurricane Katrina Disaster" in A better integrated management of disaster risks: Toward resilient society to emerging disaster risks in mega-cities, eds. by S. Ikeda, T. Fukuzono, and T. Sato, pp. 193–198.
 - Problems of public insurance program
 - insurance coverage/benefit is limited
 - does not cover incidental costs
 - need for flexible and efficient claim settlement
 - risk evaluation/communication for low-frequent event
 - dangerous for underwriting to reply modeling alone
 - Dilemma
 - Flood insurance is available but expensive
 - Inequalities in society exist
 - Low income residents who are unable to afford high insurance premiums live in high-risk areas
 - Poor people cannot obtain insurance benefits, resulting further delay to reconstruction

THANK YOU

Comment Welcome